

Consulting, help, relaxation INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES

& MANAGEMENT

PAVEMENT SHOULDER INTERACTION ON NH-59A (KM 61-KM 136): A CASE STUDY

H.S. Goliya*1, Kundan Meshram², Parul Mukherjee³

*1S.G.S.I.T.S., Indore.

2K.C.B.T.A, Indore.

3S.V.I.T.S. Indore

Abstract

In present case study done for NH-59A Indore – Ahemadabad National Highway, INDIA. The length of section taken 75 Km (Km 61to Km 136) between Dhar to Jhabua. Detailed surveys have been carried out. Road Inventory survey includes general details and condition of pavement & shoulder for some selected chainage. There are four categories of condition of pavement & shoulder viz.1) Pavement-Poor, Shoulder-Poor 2) Pavement- Good, Shoulder-Poor 3) Pavement-Fair, Shoulder-Good 4) Pavement-Good, Shoulder-Good. In section condition data for pavement cracking area, patch (%), no. of potholes per Km, depth of potholes (m), reveled area (%) and settled area (%) have been measured. Laboratory testing has been done for soil sample of selected chainage and check properties of soil like OMC, MDD, LL, PL, CBR and % of Gravel, Sand, Silt & clay for shoulder material, selected soil & subgrade soil.

Introduction

The shoulders are an important component of a highway system, particularly for highways that experience an appreciable volume of traffic. These are provided along the road edges to serve as an emergency lane for the vehicles compelled to be taken out of pavement or roadway. Shoulders act as safety strips for emergency use or for temporary parking of vehicles. They provide lateral support to the pavements and protect their edges from raveling, undermining or breaking. They provide a safe haven for the disabled vehicles and highway maintenance operations. They act as safety buffer between traveling vehicles and roadside obstacles (e.g. ditches, guardrails, signs etc.) and aid in the preservation of mainline pavement structure through lateral support and facilitation of drainage. Shoulder width is the distance from the edge of traveled way to the edge of roadway. Shoulder widths vary depending upon traffic volumes, terrain and cost of added width to the roadway sections. The materials used to construct shoulders are variable and include concrete, asphalt, grass,

gravel and bituminous surfaces. Shoulders are particularly important for single lane roads and 80% of the state roads in India are still single lane carrying 35%-40% of the total road traffic. Hence these should be stable enough to support the normal traffic loadings and their surfaces should be adequately sloped to provide quick removal of storm water from the roadway into the drainage system.

Objectives of the study:

- To investigate the factors that cause poor performance of shoulders adjacent to pavements and to identify the changes that will lead to substantially improved shoulder performance.
- 2. To evaluate the effectiveness of adding shoulders to the pavements at variable locations carrying mixed traffic.
- To determine the effect of shoulder on pavements.

Data Collection Road Inventory Survey Data

* Corresponding Author

E. mail:

Table 2: Condition of Pavement & Shoulder

| Section (chainage) | Pavement Condition | Shoulder condition |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Km81/6,Km120/2, Km132/4 | Poor | Poor |
| Km80/10,Km 99/6,Km129/2 | Good | Poor |
| Km 74/6,Km 95/4, Km 124/2 | Fair | Good |
| Km 86/2, Km 104/6,Km128/4 | Good | Good |

| Section (Km.) | Cracking | Patch | No. of | Depth of | Raveled | Settled |
|---------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| NH-59A | Area | % | Potholes | Potholes | Area % | Area % |
| | % | | Per m. | (cm) | | |
| Km.61 | 18 | 45 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 11 |
| Km.62 | 21 | 37 | 7 | 12 | 18 | 12 |
| Km.63 | 26 | 33 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 11 |
| Km.64 | 25 | 32 | 6 | 14 | 21 | 10 |
| Km.65 | 19 | 35 | 8 | 13 | 19 | 9 |
| Km.66 | 21 | 30 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 12 |
| Km.67 | 18 | 36 | 9 | 12 | 18 | 9 |
| Km.68 | 28 | 34 | 9 | 14 | 22 | 10 |
| Km.69 | 20 | 32 | 5 | 15 | 17 | 11 |
| Km.70 | 22 | 30 | 7 | 15 | 25 | 10 |
| Km.71 | 27 | 39 | 5 | 13 | 19 | 12 |
| Km.72 | 24 | 35 | 5 | 14 | 12 | 10 |
| Km.73 | 10 | 26 | 4 | 10 | 14 | 8 |
| Km.74 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 |
| Km.75 | 11 | 25 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 8 |
| Km.76 | 15 | 21 | 4 | 11 | 13 | 7 |
| Km.77 | 10 | 22 | 4 | 10 | 15 | 7 |
| Km.78 | 16 | 27 | 3 | 11 | 12 | 6 |
| Km.79 | 14 | 26 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 5 |
| Km.80 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Km.81 | 15 | 23 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 8 |
| Km.82 | 12 | 25 | 3 | 11 | 10 | 6 |
| Km.83 | 16 | 28 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 5 |
| Km.84 | 13 | 26 | 2 | 10 | 10 | 7 |
| Km.85 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Km.86 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Km.87 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Km.88 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 12 | 11 | 5 |
| Km.89 | 17 | 27 | 3 | 11 | 9 | 6 |
| Km.90 | 13 | 24 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 7 |
| Km.91 | 10 | 21 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 8 |

Int. J. of Engg. Sci. & Mgmt. (IJESM), Vol. 2, Issue 2: April-June: 2012, 220-231

Int. J. of Engg. Sci. & Mgmt. (IJESM), Vol. 2, Issue 2: April-June: 2012, 220-231

[Goliya, 2(2): April-June, 2012]

| Km.128 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|--------|----|----|---|----|----|----|
| Km.129 | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Km.130 | 30 | 47 | 8 | 22 | 15 | 16 |
| Km.131 | 28 | 38 | 7 | 15 | 17 | 15 |
| Km.132 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 10 | 1 |
| Km.133 | 16 | - | 3 | 4 | 11 | 3 |
| Km.134 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 9 | - |
| Km.135 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 2 |
| Km.136 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 | - |

Test Result CASE 1 (A1) Chainage Km 81/6

Shoulder-Poor

Pavement -Poor

| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
|------------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 20 cm. | 18 | 1.74 | 11.92 | 30.1 | 55.6 | 2.32 | 29.3 | 9.94 | 4.23 | Shoulder material | Poor |
| 20-60 cm. | 16 | 1.66 | - | - | - | - | 29.4 | 9.10 | 3.56 | Selected soil | |
| 60 cm. and below | 19 | 1.61 | 10.5 | 6.0 | 72.6 | 10.8 | 32.7 | 15.7 | 2.03 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 1 (A2) Chainage Km 120/2

| | | | Shoulder | - Poor | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|--------------|----------|--------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8- 1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 25 cm | 16 | 1.64 | 30.1 | 49.48 | 14.45 | 5.9 | 39.8 | 19.1 | 3.69 | Shoulder material | Poor |
| 25-50cm | 15 | 1.62 | 25.22 | 36.66 | 32.02 | 6.10 | 26.9 | 12.5 | 3.60 | Selected soil | |
| 50cm &below | 16 | 1.63 | 16.14 | 26.82 | 50.77 | 6.27 | 31.1 | 15.9 | 2.90 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 1 (A3) Chainage Km 132/4

Shoulder- Poor

Pavement- Poor

| Depth of sample | OMC % | MDD Gm/cc | Gravel | Sand % | Silt | Clay | LL % | PI % | CBR | Remark | Pavement condition |
|-----------------|----------|--------------|--------|-----------|-------|------|---------|---------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 45 cm | 15 | 1.76 | 24.1 | 40.6 | 32.1 | 3.1 | 48.2 | 22.9 | 3.3 | Shoulder material | Poor |
| 45-90cm | 16 | 1.61 | 20.3 | 37.5 | 32.9 | 9.2 | 49.5 | 29.7 | 3.2 | Selected soil | |
| 90cm and below | 19 | 1.57 | 20.1 | 36.8 | 33.1 | 9.1 | 50.4 | 25 | 3.1 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 2 (B1) Chainage Km 80/10

| | | | Shoulder- | Poor | | Pave | ment-G | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
| sample | % | gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 25 cm. | 18 | 1.75 | 17.70 | 29.9 | 47.6 | 3.5 | 4.7 | 31.8 | 3.9 | Shoulder material | |
| 25-50 cm. | 13 | 1.64 | 21.23 | 46.9 | 28.3 | 3.50 | 33.9 | 23.6 | 3.1 | Selected soil | Good |
| 50 cm. | 15 | 1.62 | 17.8 | 36.8 | 32.4 | 13.5 | 38.1 | 22.7 | 3.0 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 2 (B2) Chainage Km 99/6 Shoulder- Po

| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
|-----------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| sample | % | gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | (S) % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 17 cm | 19 | 1.78 | 24.1 | 40.6 | 32.0 | 3.1 | 33.1 | 14.6 | 3.65 | Shoulder material | |
| 17-65 | 18 | 1.79 | 23.5 | 50.1 | 18.7 | 7.6 | 33.4 | 16.2 | 3.37 | Selected soil | Good |
| 65cm and below | 19 | 1.72 | 13.61 | 13.1 | 51.9 | 21.2 | 34.2 | 18.6 | 2.97 | Sub grade soil | |

[Goliya, 2(2): April-June, 2012] ISSN: 2277-5528

Shoulder- Poor

CASE 2 (B3) Chainage Km 129/2

MDD ΡI Depth of OMC Gravel Silt Clay LL**CBR** Remark **Pavement** Sand sample **%** Gm/cc **% % % % % % %** condition Standard 20-25 12-15 1.8-1.91 50-55 12-15 4-6 25-28 5-6 12-14 values Top 20cm Shoulder 12 35.87 29.4 1.82 24.6 10.1 31.79 13.1 3.82 material 20-60 cm Selected 13 1.79 21.2 46.9 28.3 3.5 37.5 16.3 3.12 Good Soil 60 cm and Sub grade 15 1.68 37.1 20.5 2.78 below soil

Pavement-Good

CASE 3 (C1) Chainage Km 74/6 Shoulder-Good Pavement-Fair

| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PΙ | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
|--------------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-30 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Тор 25 ст | 16 | 1.75 | 50.5 | 26.7 | 18.1 | 4.5 | 21.5 | 5.4 | 12.6 | Shoulder material | |
| 25-50 cm | 19 | 1.73 | 49.62 | 29.7 | 17.9 | 2.6 | 22.4 | 6.0 | 7.72 | Selected soil | Fair |
| 50cm and below | 18 | 1.72 | 32.8 | 10.6 | 46.9 | 9.7 | 25.5 | 4.8 | 3.52 | Sub grade soil | |

Shoulder-Good Pavement-Fair

| | | ĸ. | noulaer- | -G00a | | | Pave | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|----------|----------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-30 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 25 cm | 15 | 1.79 | 51.2 | 29.4 | 15.4 | 3.8 | 20.1 | 8.3 | 12.14 | Shoulder material | |
| 25-50 cm | 16 | 1.78 | 38.3 | 36.9 | 19.8 | 4.9 | 23.3 | 6.0 | 7.69 | Selected soil | Fair |
| 50cm and below | 18 | 1.57 | 23.5 | 50.1 | 18.7 | 7.6 | 24.2 | 6.5 | 3.35 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 3 (C3) Chainage Km 124/2

Shoulder-Good

Pavement- Fair

| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
|-----------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|-----|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-30 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Тор 20 ст | 13 | 1.80 | 51.5 | 49.2 | 0.6 | 0.15 | 17.1 | 5.3 | 11.05 | Shoulder material | |
| 20-70cm | 16 | 1.68 | 39.15 | 33.1 | 25.2 | 2.49 | 26.3 | 4.5 | 7.30 | Selected soil | Fair |
| 70cm and below | 18 | 1.55 | 30.1 | 49.4 | 14.4 | 5.9 | 26.1 | 4.2 | 3.18 | Sub grade soil | |

CASE 4 (D1) Chainage Km 86/2

Shoulder-Good Pavement-Good Depth of **OMC** MDD Gravel Sand Silt Clay LLΡI **CBR** Remark Pavement sample % Gm/cc % % % % % % % condition Standard 5-6 1.8-1.91 50-55 20-25 12-15 25-30 12-15 4-6 12-14 values Top Shoulder 18 1.88 69.8 15.9 6.5 20.04 5.0 12.7 7.6 material 30 cmGood 30-65cm Selected 15 1.70 49.6 29.6 18.0 2.7 28.26 5.3 8.78 Soil 65cm Sub grade 19 1.55 39.5 34.6 20.6 5.1 27.44 5.1 3.50 soil and below

CASE 4(D2) Chainage Km 104/6

| CASE 4(D2) | | • | oulder-Goo | od | | Paven | nent-Go | od | | | |
|----------------------|----------|--------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|---------|---------|-------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Depth of sample | OMC % | MDD Gm/cc | Gravel | Sand % | Silt | Clay | LL % | PI % | CBR | Remark | Pavement condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 20 cm | 16 | 1.88 | 52.1 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 2.2 | 19.9 | 6.0 | 12.28 | Shoulder material | Good |
| 20-60 cm | 18 | 1.70 | 48.9 | 29.9 | 18.3 | 2.74 | 22.2 | 5.4 | 8.65 | Selected Soil | |
| 60cm and below | 19 | 1.55 | 32.8 | 10.6 | 46.9 | 9.62 | 28.4 | 5.11 | 3.26 | Sub grade soil | |

Shoulder-Good

Pavement-Good

| Depth of | OMC | MDD | Gravel | Sand | Silt | Clay | LL | PI | CBR | Remark | Pavement |
|--------------------|-------|----------|--------|-------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| sample | % | Gm/cc | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | | condition |
| Standard values | 12-15 | 1.8-1.91 | 50-55 | 20-25 | 12-15 | 4-6 | 25-28 | 5-6 | 12-14 | - | |
| Top 25 cm | 15 | 1.92 | 52.1 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 2.2 | 24.6 | 5.01 | 12.08 | Shoulder material | Good |
| 25-50cm | 18 | 1.66 | 21.2 | 46.9 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 25.2 | 5.77 | 10.23 | Selected Soil | |
| 50cm and below | 19 | 1.53 | 48.9 | 29.9 | 18.3 | 2.7 | 26.2 | 6.1 | 9.40 | Sub grade soil | |

Discussion

The following discussions have been made on the basis of research carried out during the work.

Case-1

- 1. The section of road in km 81/6 is found to be damaged with 200m to 300 m patches repaired with BUSG. The cracking area is found to be 15-16%. In this section, the shoulder material is found to be eroded.
- 2. The stretch of road in km 120/2 has 7 no. of potholes of sizes varying from 0.5 sq m to 2 sq m. In this region the settlement of shoulder material is observed along the left side of road. The bulging of road edges is also marked.
- 3. 15 % cracks along the edges are observed in km 132/4 along with 4% raveling area. The shoulder material is also completely eroded.

Case-2

- 4. In km 129/2 the pavement is in good condition as the cracks and potholes are not found. The shoulders in this section are found to be in bad condition.
- 5. In km 99/6 only 1% cracking is seen and no ruts and cracks are observed. The shoulder material is found to be settled by 25 cm. on left side of payement.
- 6. In km 80/10, the pavement is of ridable quality. The shoulders are partly eroded for 400 m in this section.

Case-3

7. In km 74/6, transverse cracking and alligator cracking is observed on the pavement surface, while no settlement of shoulder material is seen.

8. In km 124/2, 20% rutting is seen and the depth of ruts varies between 3.5 cm - 10cm. The shoulders are found to be in good condition.

ISSN: 2277-5528

9. In km 95/4, 25% rutting along with 10% cracking is observed. The shoulders are observed to be in good condition.

Case-4

10. In km 86/2, 104/6, 128/4 the pavement is in good condition and there are no distresses. The shoulders are in good condition.

Conclusion

Based on the study following conclusions have been drawn:

- 1. Due to the removal of shoulder material, longitudinal cracks and potholes are observed on the road surface along the pavement. Due to lack of maintenance, the wearing coat is removed and the base course is damaged resulting in a jungle of raveling and cracking.
- 2. The shoulders are found to be settled thereby causing damage to the pavement edges due to the horizontal thrust of tyres. The percolation of water also destroys the bituminous top surface of the pavement.
- 3. Rutting is observed due to traffic effect and repetitive load condition.
- 4. Wherever good quality material is used in the shoulders, the pavement is found to be in good condition.
- 5. The shoulders should be constructed of the same material as the mainline pavement because both of them are interdependent and to maintain a longer

[Goliya, 2(2): April-June, 2012]

life of pavement continuous monitoring and intermittent maintenance of shoulders should be done.

References

- Thomas O. Willet, "Technical Advisory-Paved Shoulders" U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Association 1990.
- Polus A., "Analysis of Flow, Safety and Warrants for Paved Shoulders on Two Lane Rural Highway", Road and Transport Research Manual 1999.
- Geni Bahar, "Synthesis for best practices for the Implementation of centre line and shoulder Rumble strips", proceedings of Canadian road safety conference, June 2001.

4. Mehndiratta H.C. and Chandra Satish, "Effect of shoulder on life of Flexible Pavements", Highway Research Bulletin No.67, 2002, pp 61-66.

- 5. Mehndiratta H.C., Chandra Satish and Kumar Manoj, "Effect of Shoulder Condition on space, Placement and Capacity of Road." Indian Highways, volume 33, No.8, 2005, pp 21-33.
- David J.White, Charles Jahren, Mohd. Sulemann, "Effective shoulder design and maintenance" Journal of Iowa highway research board, vol. 217, 2007, pp 54-59.
- 7. Khabiri Mohd.M and Kargaran Bafqi Mohd.R., "Effect of pavement boundaries depths on structural strength of roadway" Journal of applied science Research, vol.4, 2008, p p103- 109.